# THE CORRELATIONS BETWEEN THE PARAMETERS OF THE SHARPNESS OF THE LIGHT CURVE AND PERIOD FOR MIRAS

IVAN ANDRONOV<sup>1,2</sup>, LARISA KUDASHKINA<sup>2,1</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Odessa National Maritime University, Mechnikova St., 34, 65029 Odessa, Ukraine, <u>tt\_ari@ukr.net</u> Astronomical Observatory, Odessa National University, Marazlievskaya, 1-V, 65014 Odessa, Ukraine, bogema-k@mail.ru

**Abstract:** We have considered the dependence of the sharpness of the mean light curves of Mira-type stars on the period. The correlation coefficients and regression lines have been calculated. We have derived the variations of the mean light curve depend on variations of the ascending branch versus period only.

## **INTRODUCTION**

2)

The study of the variations of the light curves of the Mira-type stars is the main aim of this work.

Earlier, the deviations of the shape of the light curve from the mean one for Miras were explained by the influence of the molecular band abundance only. However, at present time, different investigations show the real variations of the shape of the light curve, which are connected to the difference of the physical conditions and the evolutionary stages of the stars at the AGB.

The asymmetry of the light curve may be owed to a power of the shock wave from the stellar pulsation (Vardya, 1987). The results of investigation of the hump duration (Kudashkina, 1994) indicate a connection to the variations of the ascending branch and the shock wave too. Moreover, the shape of the light curve can give information about the mode of the stellar pulsations, if to use it together with the color index curves, as it is was proposed by the authors (Dawson, 1988) for 60 objects.

Clearly, the stars with the stable pulsations will have a most stable shape of the light curve. In those stars, the pulsating zone is localized and is separated from the convective zone. Also, there are no helium flashes in those stars. The light curves of those stars have no strong variations from cycle to cycle.

We have introduced new parameters for characteristics of the light curve (Kudashkina, 1996). Here we consider the sharpness of the light curve only.

## **Database and mathematical methods**

The amateur databases of the AFOEV (<u>ftp://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/pub/afoev</u>), VSOLJ (http://www.kusastro.kyotou.ac.jp/vsnet/VSOLJ) and AAVSO (Mattei, 1979) were used for our investigations of LPVs. The programs used for data reduction of these observations were described by (Andronov, 1994; Andronov and Marsakova 2006). The AAVSO light curves of Miras (time interval is 1000 days) were digitized to obtain the values with a 10<sup>d</sup> step. These data were fitted by a trigonometric polynomial

$$m(t) = a_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{s} r_k \cos 2\pi k \, \frac{(t - t_k)}{P},\tag{1}$$

where  $r_k$  are semi-amplitudes and  $t_k$  are initial epochs for the brightness maximum (minimum magnitude) of the wave with a period  $P_k=P/k$ . The value of the main period was corrected by using the method of differential corrections. The computer program FOUR-M (1) was used. The phases of the individual waves are computed as

$$\varphi_k = FLOAT\left(k\frac{(t_k - T_0)}{P}\right)$$
, where  $T_0$  is the initial epoch and FLOAT(x)=x-INT(x) is a decimal part of x

defined in the range from 0 to 1.

The mean curves of Miras and the tables of their parameters obtained from the AAVSO data are given in the article by (Kudashkina, 1996).

Below we list some of the parameters:

P - the period of the light variation;

 $r_1$  - amplitude of the wave with a frequency  $f_1$ ;

f="M-m" - the asymmetry of the light curve defined as the ratio of the duration of the ascending branch to the period;  $d_{\rm eff}(t)$ 

$$m_i - \frac{dm(t)}{dt}$$
 - the maximal slope of ascending branch;

 $m_d - \frac{dm(t)}{dt}$  - the maximal slope of descending branch;

- $t_i \frac{dt}{dm}$  the characteristic time of the increase of brightness (ascending branch) by 1<sup>m</sup>;
- $t_d \frac{dt}{dm}$  the characteristic time of the decrease of brightness (descending branch) by 1<sup>m</sup>.

$$m_{is} - \frac{\left(\frac{dm}{dt}\right)_{curve}}{\left(\frac{dm}{dt}\right)_{sin}}$$
, where  $\left(\frac{dm}{dt}\right)_{sin} = \pi \frac{m_{min} - m_{max}}{P}$  - for ascending branch;

 $m_{ds}$  - the same for the descending branch.

# Results

For the analysis, we have used the values of the parameters given in the table 3. The following regression relationships have been obtained:

$$\begin{split} &lg\ m_i = (-0.56 \pm 0.16)\ lg\ P\ + (0.12 \pm 0.40),\ (2)\\ &lg\ m_d = (-0.91 \pm 0.10)\ lg\ P\ - (0.90 \pm 0.24),\ (3)\\ &lg\ t_d = (0.83 \pm 0.10)\ lg\ P\ - (0.73 \pm 0.25),\ (4)\\ &lg\ t_i = (0.53 \pm 0.15)\ lg\ P\ - (0.10 \pm 0.36),\ (5)\\ &lg\ t_i = (0.59 \pm 0.07)\ lg\ P\ - (0.22 \pm 0.15)\ (6) \end{split}$$

W And is not included in equations (2) and (3), because this star have extremally large amplitude. X Oph is not included to equation (3) because this binary star is far from other stars on the graphic. The relationship (6) is derived without small-amplitude stars. For details, see subsection 3.

The correlation coefficients for these relationships are given in the table 1.

#### Table 1. The correlation coefficients for the relationships.

Relationship	ρ	ρ/σρ	Ν
$\lg m_i - \lg P(2)$	-0.41	-3.42	61
$\lg m_d - \lg P(3)$	-0.77	-9.20	61
$\lg t_d - \lg P(4)$	0.73	8.11	61
$\lg t_i - \lg P(5)$	0.42	3.60	62
$\lg t_i - \lg P(6)$	0.85	8.84	32

Remarks:  $\rho$  is the correlation coefficient,  $\sigma_{\rho}$  is it's error estimate, N is the number of stars.

## 1. The relation "lg m<sub>i</sub> - lg P"

The parameter  $m_i$  is the value of the sharpness of the ascending branch of the mean light curve at the point of the maximal sharpness. The significant distinction of the modules of the coefficient at lg P in the equation (2) points to existence statistical dependence between the slope of the ascending branch on the period for the majority of the stars. See fig. (1).

The group of the eight stars stand out for the others. They will be discussed in the subsection 3.



Fig. (1). The relationship between the sharpness of the ascending branch and period in the logarithmic aspect.

#### 2. The relation "lg m<sub>d</sub> - lg P"

The absolute value of the coefficient of the slope regression line of relationship (3) is close to 1 ( $0.91\pm0.10$ ). It means, that the variation of the slope of the descending branch does not depend statistically on the period. In other words, the amplitude of the descending branch does not show any strong dependence on the period. This relationship may be explained as trivial. See fig. (2).



Fig. (2). The relationship between the sharpness of the descending branch and period is trivial.

### 3. The relation "lg t<sub>i</sub> - lg P"

The coefficient of the slope of the regression line of relationship (4) differs from unity also significantly. It means, that the increasing of brightness by  $1^{m}$  depends statistically on the period for the majority of stars. See fig. (3).

The group of the eight stars stands out in respect to the others. These stars are listed in table 2, part 1. The general property of these stars separating them from others is a very small amplitude. If to exclude from the consideration the stars from the table 2, part 1, then the graphic will look as in the figure 4. Now, we see the 18 stars, for which the value of the increasing of the brightness by  $1^m$  is constant with period. One third of them are S-Miras.

Among others, prevail the stars of the late spectral subtype. As  $t_i$  is a time in days, it may be supposed that the characteristic time of the increase of the brightness does not depend on any photometric parameter, including the period and is constant for Miras approaching to the final stage of their evolution. For earlier Miras is the clear dependence  $t_i$  on the amplitude of the brightness. Note, the correlation coefficient between  $\Delta m_V$  (amplitude of the brightness) and  $t_i$  is equal to 0.60 ( $\rho \mid \sigma_\rho = 5.6$ ), and between  $r_1$  and  $t_i$  equals 0.67 ( $\rho \mid \sigma_\rho = 7.0$ ).



Fig. (3). The relationship between the characteristic time of the increase of the brightness by  $1^{m}$  on the period in the logarithmic aspect.



Fig. (4). The relationship is derived for stars except that listed in the table 2, part 1.

## 4. The relation "lg t<sub>d</sub> - lg P"

The coefficient of the slope regression line of this relationship is close to 1 ( $0.83\pm0.10$ ). It means that the variation of the time of the light decreasing on  $1^{m}$  does not depend statistically on the period as the case considered in the section 3.3. This relationship is trivial also. See fig.(5).



Fig. (5). The relationship between the decrease of the brightness by 1<sup>m</sup> on the period is trivial.

## 5. The relation "lg m<sub>is</sub> - lg P"

The correlation coefficient for this relationship equals to  $0.48\pm0.11$  and the ratio "signal/noise" is equal to 4.26. The number of the stars is 62.

We consider that the parameter  $m_{is}$  correlates with the period. It means that, for the larger period, the ascending branch more differs from a sinusoid. (Fig. 6).



Fig. (6). The relationship has the correlation coefficient  $\rho = 0.48$  ( $\rho \setminus \sigma_{\rho} = 4.26$ ).

#### 6. The relation "lg m<sub>ds</sub> - lg P"

This diagram does not show any correlation. (Fig. 7). It is considered once more, that the descending branch varies independently on the period.



Fig. (7). The diagram does not show the correlation.

#### 7. The phases of the maximal sharpness of the branches of the light curve

On the figures 8 and 9, the phases of the maximal sharpness of the branches are shown versus period. These relationships do not show correlations. Note, that the parameters "phase  $m_i$ " and "phase  $m_d$ " show no correlations.



Fig. (8). The relationship of the phase of maximal sharpness of the ascending branch relative to the phase of the maximum brightness versus period.



Fig. (9). The relationship of the phase of maximal sharpness of the descending branch relative to the phase of the maximum brightness versus period.

#### 8. The relation "asymmetry - period"

The classical asymmetry f=(M-m)/P shows no correlation with period. (Fig 10). However, the parameter f correlates with 11 other parameters, which are listed in the article by (Kudashkina, 1996; Kudashkina, 1997).



Fig. (10). The relationship of the classical asymmetry versus period.

Table 2	(part 1).	The small-a	amplitude stars.
---------	-----------	-------------	------------------

Ν	Star	Period,	Sp.type	$\Delta m_V$	mi	ti
		d				
1	Y Per	247.1	C4.3e(R4e)	2.01	.031	31
2	BG	292.2	M7e-M8e	2.55	.025	33
	Cyg					
3	X Oph	327.7	M5e-M9e	1.34	.012	78
4	V Aur	353.7	C6.2e(N3e)	2.40	.018	53
5	W Cas	417.7	C7.1e	2.81	.023	42
6	X Cas	441.9	C5.4e(N1e)	1.71	.015	63
7	U Cyg	484.5	C7.2e-	3.30	.018	53
			C9.2(N)			
8	S Cep	503.5	C7.4e(N8e)	2.77	.018	55

Table 2 (part 2). The late-spectral type stars.

Ν	Star	Period, d	Sp.type	$\Delta m_V$	mi	ti
1	T UMa	257.0	M4IIIe-M7e	5.41	.109	9
2	RS UMa	261.1	M4e-M6e	5.76	.106	9
3	R UMa	301.5	M3e-M9e	5.46	.114	9
4	T UMi	316.7	M4e-M6e	5.63	.075	13
5	o Cet	333.5	M5e-M9e	5.52	.094	11
6	R Ser	353.6	M5IIIe-M9e	6.81	.082	12
7	R Gem	368.9	S2.9e-S8.9e	6.46	.072	14
8	T Cam	374.8	S4.7e-S8.5e	5.74	.080	12
9	U Ori	385.6	M6e-M9.5e	5.51	.072	14
10	W And	399.2	S6.1e-S9.2e	11.0	.134	7
11	U Aur	410.5	M7e-M9e	5.66	.076	13
12	U Her	414.9	M6.5e-M9.5e	4.45	.066	15
13	χ Cyg	421.5	S6.2e-S10.4e	8.99	.088	11
14	R And	426.2	S3.5e-S8.8e	7.78	.097	10
15	R Cyg	443.8	S3.9e-S6.9e	6.73	.065	15
16	R Cas	443.9	M6e-M10e	6.25	.093	11
17	R Aur	448.0	M6.5e-M9.5e	6.14	.072	14
18	V Cam	503.5	M7e	5.37	.075	13

Remarks: The Spectral types are from GCVS (Khopolov, 1985), other data are from (Kudashkina, 1996).

## Discussion

For a detailed classification of Mira-type stars, we propose to use three groups of the parameters: fundamental (P - period,  $\Delta m_V$  - visual amplitude, f - asymmetry); additional (s,  $r_k$ ,  $\phi_k$ ) and the slopes of the light curve branches ( $m_i$ ,  $m_d$ ,  $t_i$ ,  $t_d$ ,  $m_{is}$ ,  $m_{ds}$ ). The analysis of the correlations between the last group of the parameters and the period has been carried out. It is possible to conclude the following:

(1) The variations of the light curve shape of the Mira-type stars versus period connect with the dependence of the slope of the ascending branch versus period only.

(2) For late spectral subclasses S and O Miras, the asymmetry of the light curve is constant ( $m_i$  practically independ on the period).

(3) The sequence of the small-amplitude stars exists. This sequence includes mainly the carbon-rich miras.

Our investigations, including the works (Kudashkina, 1999; Kudashkina, 2003), show that the stars may be subdivided into the groups. Within each group, the stars can be disposed to increasing of the periods. However, we have to take into account the following. The stars of different stellar population have different values of the parameters for the same evolutionary stage. And the difference of the masses also causes uncertainty in the interpretation. Nevertheless, we can choose the spectral type as "zero-mark". Already Keenan (1989) has determined that the spectral type becomes later, while the period increases. It is also the problem. The spectral class of the Miras varies from minimum to maximum on 6 subclasses and more (Terrill, 1969). May one use mean value of the spectral type? Or it is necessary to use only spectral type at minimum? Apparently, the minimum of the brightness is the characteristics of the evolutionary stage for Mira-type star.

Nevertheless, we accept for short period the earlier AGB-stage and the calculating Fourier-coefficients for their light curves attribute to earlier AGB-stars.

Taking into account the results of the works (Kudashkina, 1999; Kudashkina, 2003), we accept that the early-AGB stars have the symmetrical light curve.

Thus, the analysis of the correlation concerning the asymmetry of the light curve of the Mira-type stars indicates the real modifications of the shape of the light curve during the evolution of the star at the AGB.

# References

- Andronov I.L. (Multi-)frequency variations of stars. Some methods and results. Odessa Astron. Publ. 1994; 7: 49-53 (http://il-a.pochta.ru/OAP07\_049.pdf).
- Andronov I. L., Marsakova V.I. Variability of long-period pulsating stars. I. Methods for analyzing observations. Astrophysics 2006; 49: 3, 370-385
- Dawson D.W., Baird S.R. Southern Late-Type Variables: A Multicolor Photometric Survey. Bull.Amer.Astron.Soc. 1988; 20: 673.
- Keenan P.C., McNeil R.C. The Perkins catalog of revised MK types for the cooler stars. Astrophys.J., Suppl. 1989; 71: 245-266.
- Khopolov P.N., Samus N.N., Frolov M.S., Goranskij V.P., Gorynya N.A., Karitskaya E.A., Kazarovets E.V., Kireeva N.N., Kukarkina N.P., Medvedeva G.I., Pastukhova E.N., Perova N.B., Shugarov S.Yu. General Catalogue of Variable Stars, 4-th ed. 1985; 2: 360pp. (http://www.sai.msu.ru/groups/cluster/gcvs/gcvs/)
- Kudashkina L.S. The photometrical classification of the Mira-type stars. Vestnik Odessa State Univ. 1999; 4: 55-58.
- Kudashkina L.S. Long-Periodic Variable Stars. Kinematics and Physics of Celestial Bodies 2003; 19: 193-233.
- Kudashkina L.S., Andronov I.L. Fourier Coefficients for the Light Curves of 62 Mira-Type Stars. Odessa Astron. Publ. 1996; 9: 108-111 (http://oap09.pochta.ru).
- Kudashkina L.S., Andronov I.L. Correlations between characteristics of mean light curves of long periodic variables. in: "Variable Stars: New frontiers. Abstracts", Sion, Switzerland, 1997; p.19.
- Kudashkina L.S., Rudnitskij G.M. Influence of shock waves on the light curves of long-period variables. Odessa Astron. Publ. 1994; 7(1): 66-69.
- Mattei J. Observations of Long-Periodic Stars 9 Sept. 1974-5 June 1977, AAVSO Rep. 1979; 38:
- Terrill Ch. L. Changes in the near-infrared spectra of fifty-one red variable stars. Astron. J. 1969; 74: 413-432.
- Vardya M.S. Shape of the visual light curve and detection of a 1.35 CM H2O line in single M Miras, Astron. and Astrophys. 1987; 182: 75-79.

place of		st slope.								
Star	IgP	F	m <sub>i</sub>	t <sub>i</sub>	φ (m <sub>i</sub> )	m <sub>d</sub>	t <sub>d</sub>	$\phi(\mathbf{m}_d)$	m <sub>is</sub>	m <sub>ds</sub>
RTCyg	2.28	.484±.014	$082\pm.003$	$-12.2\pm0.5$	0.133	$.076\pm.003$	$13.1\pm0.5$	0.725	$1.09 \pm .04$	$1.01 \pm .04$
RVir	2.16	.555±.019	$082 \pm .006$	$-12.2\pm1.0$	0.585	.105+.006	9.5±0.6	0.194	.92±.07	$1.18 \pm .07$
R Vul	2.14	.500±.001	$096 \pm .004$	$-10.4\pm0.5$	0.252	$.096 \pm .004$	10.4±0.5	0.752	$1.00 \pm .05$	$1.00 \pm .05$
RZ Sco	2.20	.500±.001	$062 \pm .003$	-16.0±0.7	0.890	.062±.003	16.0±0.7	0.390	$1.00 \pm .05$	$1.00 \pm .05$
S Car	2.18	.564±.115	$074 \pm .007$	$-13.5\pm1.2$	0.223	$.074 \pm .007$	13.5±1.2	0.895	$1.33 \pm .12$	$1.33 \pm 12$
SS Cas	2.15	$426 \pm 018$	-100+004	-10.0+0.4	0.981	$089 \pm 004$	113+05	0.324	$1.28 \pm 0.5$	$1.14 \pm 05$
SEllar	2.15	500+001	110+005	$-10.0\pm0.4$	0.507	$111 \pm 005$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.027	$1.20\pm.05$	$1.14\pm.05$
55Hel	2.02	.300±.001	110±.003	-9.0±0.4	0.397	.111±.003	9.0±0.4	0.097	1.00±.03	1.00±.03
1 Her	2.22	.500±.001	$096\pm.002$	$-10.4\pm0.2$	0.801	.096±.002	10.4±0.2	0.301	1.00±.02	1.00±.02
V Tau	2.23	$.500 \pm .001$	$090 \pm .002$	$-11.1\pm0.3$	0.587	$.090 \pm .002$	$11.1\pm0.3$	0.087	$1.00 \pm .03$	$1.00 \pm .03$
W Lyr	2.30	.477±.023	$069 \pm .004$	$-14.3\pm0.9$	0.242	$.064 \pm .004$	15.7±1.1	0.849	$1.12 \pm .07$	$1.02 \pm .07$
X Cam	2.15	.438±.017	$117 \pm .007$	-8.5±0.5	0.713	$.085 \pm .007$	11.7±0.9	0.318	$1.19 \pm .07$	.87±.07
R Ari	2.27	.500±.001	$070 \pm .002$	-14.1±0.4	0.026	.070±.002	14.1±0.4	0.526	$1.00 \pm .03$	$1.00 \pm .03$
RRBoo	2.29	$.432 \pm .016$	$079 \pm .005$	$-12.6\pm0.7$	-0.005	$.049 \pm .005$	20.1±2.0	0.455	$1.20\pm.07$	.75±.07
X Aur	2 21	$580 \pm 0.24$	-084+007	-11 8+1 0	0.679	$103 \pm 007$	9.6+0.7	0.328	1.04 + 0.09	$1.27\pm0.9$
SV Hor	2.21	$500\pm.021$	$0.001\pm0.007$	$11.0\pm1.0$ 11.2±0.4	0.010	$0.000\pm 0.003$	$11.2\pm0.4$	0.520	$1.01\pm.03$	$1.27\pm.09$ 1.00±03
W Dur	2.07	500±.001	$089\pm.003$	$-11.2\pm0.4$	0.019	$0.009\pm0.003$	$11.2\pm0.4$	0.319	$1.00\pm.05$	$1.00\pm.05$
w Pup	2.08	.500±.001	09/±.005	-10.2±0.5	0.926	.09/±.005	10.2±0.5	0.420	1.00±.05	1.00±.05
BG Cyg	2.47	$.529\pm.012$	$025\pm.001$	-39.4±1.9	1.010	$.030\pm.001$	33.3±1.1	0.454	.93±.04	$1.09 \pm .04$
R Aql	2.45	.418±.007	$064 \pm .002$	$-15.5\pm0.4$	0.070	$.035 \pm .002$	27.8±1.3	0.481	$1.24 \pm .03$	.69±.03
R Boo	2.35	.500±.001	$073 \pm .001$	-13.7±0.2	0.480	.073±.001	13.7±0.2	0.980	$1.00 \pm .02$	$1.00 \pm .02$
R Dra	2.39	.450±.009	077±.002	-12.9±0.4	0.817	.058±.002	17.1±0.7	0.240	$1.16 \pm .04$	.87±.04
RS Her	2.34	.500±.001	$068 \pm .001$	$-14.6\pm0.3$	0.588	.068±.001	14.6±0.3	0.088	$1.00\pm.02$	$1.00\pm.02$
RSUMa	2 42	354 + 014	-106+004	-94+4	0.205	$058 \pm 004$	17.0+1.1	0.540	1.54 + 06	85+06
D Tri	2.12	$.331\pm.011$	$057\pm003$	$17.1 \pm 10$	0.205	058±002	$17.0\pm1.1$ 17.2±1.0	0.200	1.5 1±.00 88± 05	<u>80+05</u>
K III	2.43	$.401\pm.000$	$037\pm.003$	$-17.3\pm1.0$	0.636	$0.038\pm.003$	$17.3\pm1.0$	0.233	.88±.05	1.00 + 04
<u>S Boo</u>	2.43	.529±.011	$050\pm.002$	-19./±0.8	0.536	.060±.002	16.6±0.6	0.98/	.92±.04	1.09±.04
S UMa	2.36	$.502 \pm .013$	$073\pm.003$	$-13.5\pm0.6$	0.882	$.044 \pm .004$	22.3±1.8	0.606	$1.43 \pm .07$	.8/±.0/
TU Cyg	2.34	.435±.015	$089 \pm .005$	$-11.2\pm0.7$	0.768	$.057 \pm .006$	$17.5 \pm 1.8$	0.300	$1.19 \pm .07$	.76±.08
T UMa	2.41	.339±.021	$109 \pm .006$	$-9.2\pm0.5$	0.698	$.067 \pm .006$	14.9±1.3	0.192	$1.65 \pm .09$	$1.01 \pm .09$
V Cas	2.36	.449±.010	$068 \pm .002$	-14.6±0.5	0.509	.048±.002	20.5±1.0	0.032	$1.15 \pm .04$	.82±.04
W Her	2.45	$.466 \pm .009$	$070\pm.002$	$-14.2\pm0.4$	0.767	$.061 \pm .002$	$16.\pm0.5$	0.250	$1.21\pm.04$	$1.05\pm.03$
V Per	2 39	$479 \pm 011$	-031+002	-31 5+1 8	0.505	$025\pm002$	38 + 2 5	0.233	$1.24 \pm 0.7$	$1.01\pm07$
a Cat	2.57	200+010	051±.002	$-31.5\pm1.0$	0.505	0561.000	17.712.9	0.450	$1.24\pm.07$	$1.01\pm.07$
DLEI	2.32	.300±.019	094±.006	-10.6±0.7	-0.030	.030±.009	17.7±2.8	0.430	1.82±.12	1.09±.17
R Leo	2.50	.450±.009	$052\pm.003$	-18.9±0.9	0.246	$.033 \pm .003$	30.0±2.7	0.634	$1.32\pm.06$	.83±.07
R Ser	2.55	.434±.013	$082 \pm .004$	-12.2±0.6	0.527	.055±.004	17.9±1.4	0.069	1.36±.07	.92±.07
R UMa	2.48	.419±.011	114±.004	-8.8±0.3	0.159	.056±.004	17.7±1.2	0.544	$2.00 \pm .07$	.99±.07
S CrB	2.55	.395±.010	$060\pm.003$	$-16.4\pm0.7$	0.782	.031±.003	31.4±2.7	0.135	$1.32\pm.06$	.69±.06
T Cam	2.57	$465 \pm 006$	$-080\pm003$	-12 5+0 4	0 352	$048 \pm 002$	20.4+0.8	0.047	$1.67 \pm 0.5$	$1.02 \pm 0.04$
TUAnd	2.50	<u>183±010</u>	$061\pm003$	16 4±0 8	0.018	$0.010\pm.002$	$20.1\pm0.0$	0.550	$1.07\pm.05$	$05\pm 07$
IU And	2.50	.4631.010	001±.003	-10.4±0.8	0.910	.0431.003	22.0±1.0	0.330	1.27±.00	.9 <u>3</u> ±.07
UPer	2.52	.500±.001	$034\pm.001$	-29.5±0.6	0.804	.033±.001	29.5±0.6	0.304	$1.00\pm.02$	1.0±.02
U UM1	2.52	.487±.006	$038\pm.002$	$-26.0\pm1.1$	0.611	$.040 \pm .002$	24.9±1.1	0.088	$1.01 \pm .04$	$1.06 \pm .05$
V Aur	2.55	.538±.006	$019 \pm .002$	-53.2±4.3	0.738	.020±.001	48.2±3.0	0.188	.88±.07	.97±.06
WAnd	2.60	.459±.011	$134\pm.047$	$-7.4\pm2.6$	0.375	.132±.046	$7.5\pm2.6$	0.201	$1.54 \pm .54$	$1.52\pm.53$
W Peg	2.54	$457 \pm 0.09$	-048+002	-20 7+1 0	0 774	$037 \pm 003$	26 7+2 2	0 964	$1.19 \pm 06$	$92 \pm 08$
V Oph	2.51	500±001	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	79 1+1 7	0.652	$0.037 \pm 0.003$	79 1+1 7	0.152	$1.19\pm.00$	$1.00\pm 0.02$
R Oph	2.52	.300±.001	012±.001	-/0.1±1./	0.052	.013±.001	/0.1±1./	0.132	1.00±.02	1.00±.02
KGem	2.57	.396±.014	$0/2\pm.006$	$-13.8\pm1.2$	0.222	.038±.006	25.9±4.1	0.576	1.31±.11	./0±.11
TUMi	2.50	.482±.013	$075 \pm .005$	-13.3±0.8	0.726	$.065 \pm .005$	15.2±1.2	0.961	1.35±.09	1.18±.09
UOri	2.59	.337±.015	$072 \pm .004$	-13.7±0.7	0.434	.031±.002	31.6±2.1	0.697	$1.62 \pm .09$	.70±.05
SUMi	2.51	.519±.007	$045 \pm .002$	$-21.9\pm1.1$	0.159	$.039 \pm .002$	25.2±1.5	0.694	$1.12\pm.06$	.97±.06
VCam	2.70	$376 \pm 0.08$	-075+003	-13 3+0 5	0.287	$037 \pm 003$	26 4+1 9	0 474	225+08	1 13 + 08
v Cua	2.70	422 + 008	075±.005	$-13.3\pm0.3$	0.545	0(1+00)	16.2+1.5	0.040	$1.23 \pm .00$	02+00
χcyg	2.02	.423±.008	088±.006	-11.3±0.7	0.343	.001±.000	10.2±1.5	0.040	1.32±.09	.92±.09
R And	2.63	.316±.012	$097 \pm .004$	$-10.2\pm0.4$	0.354	.041±.005	24.0±2.8	0.941	$1.71\pm.07$	.73±.08
R Aur	2.65	.516±.007	072±.004	$-13.8\pm0.7$	0.643	.054±.003	18.5±0.9	0.157	$1.68 \pm .08$	$1.26\pm.06$
R Cas	2.65	.369±.014	$093 \pm .006$	-10.7±0.7	0.085	.050±.006	20.0±2.4	0.415	2.11±.14	1.13±.14
R Cvg	2.65	$.382 \pm 014$	- 065+ 004	-15 3+0 9	0.605	.047 + 0.04	21 3+1 9	0.348	$1.37 \pm 0.8$	.99+ 09
S Cen	2 70	568+010	$-0.18\pm0.01$	-54 9+2 2	0.710	$0.021 \pm 0.01$	46 1+2 2	0.366	1.0 + 1.00	$1.26\pm 0.6$
TC	2.70	.500±.010	010±.001	-37.713.2	0.710	.021±.001	70.112.3	0.000	1.00±.00	1.4.00
i Cas	2.0/	.390±.009	$03/\pm.002$	-20./±1.5	0.075	.036±.002	27.7±1.6	0.908	1.18±.06	1.14±.06
U Aur	2.61	.316±.039	$076 \pm .005$	-13.1±0.8	0.494	.038±.004	25.8±2.7	0.099	1.76±.11	.89±.09
U CMi	2.63	.579±.005	$048\pm.002$	-20.5±0.9	0.674	.036±.001	27.6±1.0	0.928	$1.39 \pm .06$	$1.04 \pm .04$
U Cyg	2.69	.487±.008	$018 \pm .002$	-52.9±4.8	0.216	.019±.002	51.7±4.5	0.541	.88±.08	.90±.08
UHer	2.62	$409 \pm 011$	- 066+ 002	-15 0+0 6	0.037	$025 \pm 003$	39 9+4 0	0.189	$1.97 \pm 0.7$	$74 \pm 07$
VCva	2.62	107±000	$0.000\pm.002$	$13.0\pm0.0$	0.667	022	1/ 2+2 7	0.075	$1.57 \pm .07$	00± 07
v Cyg	2.03	.42/±.008	042±.002	-23.4±0.9	0.007	.022±.002	44.3±3./	0.075	1.00±.00	.001.07
w Cas	2.62	.4/0±.016	$023 \pm .001$	-42.3±2.0	0.150	.020±.001	48.5±2.6	0.743	1.12±.05	.97±.05
X Cas	2.65	.621±.016	$015 \pm .001$	-63.1±4.1	0.308	.017±.001	58.2±3.0	0.989	$1.30 \pm .08$	$1.41 \pm .07$

Table. The values of the parameters of the sharpness of the mean light curves of Mira-type stars in the place of the most slope.